

The announce that appeared in internet and it was communicate via email has been considered and analyzed.

## CURRENT SITUATION

1. No de facto decision authorless and anonymous.  
The responses and the announces are appearing in an anonymous form, it is therefore almost impossible to identify clearly responsibility even if the content of the decision are strongly affecting the "bien etre" of the parents and of the stake holders of the European School. This practice is unacceptable. We are taken every time the responsibility and let me say the moral engagement of assessing situation, formulate proposal, while in response we cannot really spot clearly responsibility even if when decisions are taken not in accordance of the Digest of the Board of Governors of the European School (in the following Digest). Therefore in order to inform correctly the representative of the member state in the administrative council we wish to know who is deciding what.
2. The acceptance of the admission of the III category children is regulated by the Digest see in particular Chapter XII part C points a, b and c.  
The children of cat. III would be admitted by the Director, taking into consideration that future cat. I and II has to be admitted without leading a class being divided. Point b is specifying the maximum number of cat. III, considering that the maximum number of children in a class must not exceed 30.  
Policy towards sibling is instead clearly stated in paragraph 7 point c "siblings of the present pupils and pupil coming from another European School could be admitted by way of an exception". The term "could" is used in the meaning reported in Chapter part B point 8.1 "until the problem of overcrowding of the Brussels Schools had been resolved". This policy applies to the strictest policy towards category III, such the policy that is currently applied in Brussels School in which the construction an additional site is conditioning strongly the admission policy . In the case of the policy enforced (as it appears) by the EPO, the number of additional children to be admitted is roughly 5 out of 1800 (such 0,002%).  
In other words siblings have to be admitted, contrary to the policy that ( apparently) it is dictated to the school by the EPO.
3. The policy applied (apparently by the EPO) is to maintain the minimum number of seven children per class and it was cited (apparently by EPO representatives) Chapter XII part A point 1 of the Digest.  
Actually there is no such obligation. The Digest states only that the minimum number of children to create a class is seven. The Digest does not say that the School has an obligation to reach this number by taking on board children of cat. III. The policy vis a vis cat. III children is completely disassociated by the minimum requirement for forming a class. Throughout the Digest is clearly stated that in case of strict policy towards the III cat, siblings and transfer from other European school has to be taken into account. The policy vis a vis certain section smells favoritism and/or discrimination.

It is therefore clear that an arbitrary decision has been taken involving strongly political considerations when for certain section 7 children have been admitted. In particular it is clear that a discrimination is clearly carried out against all the nationality exct Greece and Neederland.

4. The decision of maintaining 7 children per class, even if it is arbitrary decision taken inside the European Patent Office without consulting the administrative board, that it appears would have be the case, it looks like a big mockery.

As clearly explained in chapter III part B point 3, the closure of a language section may be envisaged when it falls short of 37 pupils in the primary, for two consecutive years. It is therefore clear that without even mentioning the issue, representatives of the European Patent Office (or so it appears) have decided de facto to shut down a section within few years.

Therefore political decisions are not clearly reported to the relevant bodies (i.e. the Administrative Council) but de facto taken by somebody inside the European Patent Office (so it appears) without reporting it to the Administrative Council. The EPO has to take a clear policy either to shut down immediately the section or to maintain healthy them This attitude is moreover jeopardizing the didactics and the pedagogical aspects of a normal and healthy running class. Therefore playing with the children deteriorates the wellness and the development of the children.

## PROPOSAL

The number of the III category to be admitted in the school has to be determined based on pedagogical aspect, economical consideration within the frame of the Digest.